

**Draft Minutes: CCJDC Meeting**  
**16 April 2009**

**CSUMB Library**

1. The meeting was called to order by the Chair (Foss) at 9:30. The roll was taken during the introductions of those present. Signatories in attendance were: AMBAG, City of Marina, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, Monterey County, Monterey County Water Resources Agency, Monterey Peninsula College, San Benito County, Santa Cruz County, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Naval Postgraduate School, and UC Santa Cruz.
2. Following introductions, the minutes of the February, 2009 meeting were approved with corrections.
3. Johnston (AMBAG) and Foss reported on their attendance at the California Geographic Information Association (CGIA) and California GIS Council meeting during the CalGIS Conference. Highlights of the meeting included developments on the state's CERES/Cal-Atlas site, the appointment of the State's Geographic Information Officer (GIO) and developments surrounding that. There is some indication that federal stimulus money may go toward the completion of framework data throughout the state, specifically elevation (lidar) data.

The GIO has only one staff person at present, but will obviously need more. The mission of the position is to address all issues surrounding GIS, including policy, technical matters, operational considerations, GIS consolidation, enterprise development, etc. A strategic plan is available for download from the GIS Council site.

There was an update on the Santa Clara County lawsuit (public records), and a referral to several pending bills that may require CCJDC review and comment.

4. Orthoimagery. Johnston reported on the delivery of imagery products from HJW. His latest information is that all products will be delivered the week of April 20<sup>th</sup>. Radiometric results have been going well. Tyler (Monterey County) asked if Sids had been delivered; Johnston indicated that they had not. Fairchild (MBUAPCD) asked about a future flight; the nearest flight would likely be in 2010, or perhaps the CCJDC could work with the newer NAIP flight organized by the State.
5. Reynolds (Monterey County) gave a presentation on the County's parcel map process and access to the map and attribute data. He provided a handout (copy attached) which outlines the Assessor's policy and status of data at present. The agency's policy is to share data with public and non-profit organizations and individuals. He asks for email to verify a data requestor's status. Once he approves the request, users may call for a password with which to access the site for download.

The Assessor's office distinguishes between commercial and non-commercial users. Since the Assessor has staffing considerations, they have engaged a vendor to manage parcel updates; commercial requestors are referred to the vendor to obtain data for a fee. The Assessor is concerned that there are errors in the parcel mapping which can affect boundary issues; the maps are not survey quality. The Assessor is therefore leery of posting the data online. The office is engaged in a 5-year project of digitizing plat maps.

All requests are directed to Reynolds. He verifies that the requestor is from a public agency and then provides a link to the download site along with the password. Reynolds tracks the people to whom he has provided data. At present, there is no revenue stream, but the County would like to develop one.

Foss enquired as to whether there was any effort to scan recorded information; Reynolds indicated that there are vendors who do that. Price (Santa Cruz County) inquired as to the vendor used; Reynolds indicated it was CD Data. Fairchild (MBUAPCD) asked if an individual could obtain parcel data; Reynolds responded that if the requestor was a resident of Monterey County, they would only get a clip of their property. Asked if many individuals made requests, Reynolds said not many, mainly students. Asked if consultants request, Reynolds indicated that they did; however, he asks the public agency client to make the request rather than the consultant.

Fairchild asked what would happen if an individual was obligated to do an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), and requested data with which to complete the document, would they be able to get the data. Reynolds said they would be referred to the vendor to obtain the data.

6. Richardson (CalTrans) had passed along a request for those interested in attending a URISA workshop on Enterprise GIS, to be sponsored by the Central California chapter. Those interested should email Johnston.
7. The meeting was adjourned; the next meeting will be held on June 18<sup>th</sup> in a location to be determined.